

# K.S.R.M. COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING

#### (UGC-AUTONOMOUS)

Kadapa, Andhra Pradesh, India-516 003

Approved by AICTE, New Delhi & Affiliated to JNTUA, Ananthapuramu

An ISO 14001:2004 & 9001: 2015 Certified Institution

#### **DEPARTMENT OF CIVIL ENGINEERING**

#### Stakeholders Feedback Analysis on Curriculum for the AY 2019-20

The Department of Civil Engineering collects feedback from the stakeholders to monitor and evaluate the quality of curriculum and curriculum related issues. The feedback forms were collected from students, parents, Alumni, Employer and faculty on several aspects of curriculum and its learning related issues in terms of quality, competence, skills and professionalism. The report of feedback analysis is submitted to IQAC for necessary action and thereafter the analysis is shared with BoS Committee to take necessary measures and actions as to comply with the issues raised by the Stakeholders in New Curriculum.

## DEPARTMENT OF CIVIL ENGINEERING DETAILED FEEDBACK ANALYSIS REPORT

#### Feedback Analysis of Students on Curriculum for the AY 2019-20

#### Feedback is collected from students on the following parameters


- Q1. Depth of the course content
- Q2. Extent of Coverage of Course
- Q3. Applicability or relevance to real life situations
- Q4. Learning values (in terms of knowledge, concepts, manual skills, analytical abilities, and broadening perspectives)
- Q5. Clarity and relevance of textual reading material
- Q6. Relevance of additional source material (library)
- Q7. Extent of effort required by students
- Q8. Overall Rating

The categorization of rating is as follows: Excellent, Very good, Good, Satisfactory, and Poor

Table 1: Student Feedback analysis report on R15UG curriculum

		PERCEN	TAGE WISI	E RESPONSE			
Q.No	Excellent(5)	Very	Good(3)	Satisfactory(2)	Poor(1)	Avg.	Grade
		Good(4)				Rating	
Q1	59.76	29.27	8.54	2.44	0.00	4.46	Excellent
Q2	75.61	17.07	6.10	1.22	0.00	4.67	Excellent
Q3	62.20	23.17	14.63	0.00	0.00	4.48	Excellent
Q4	64.63	24.39	10.98	0.00	0.00	4.54	Excellent
Q5	71.95	18.29	6.10	3.66	0.00	4.59	Excellent
Q6	82.93	9.76	7.32	0.00	0.00	4.76	Excellent
Q7	76.83	13.41	7.32	2.44	0.00	4.65	Excellent
Q8	75.61	17.07	6.10	1.22	0.00	4.67	Excellent

Average Rating	Grade
≥4	Excellent
4 <avg.rating≥3< td=""><td>Very good</td></avg.rating≥3<>	Very good
3 <avg.rating≥2< td=""><td>Good</td></avg.rating≥2<>	Good



Feedback Analysis of Students on R15UG Curriculum for the AY 2019-20

Head

Department of Civil Engineering K.S.R.M. College of Engineering (Autonomous)

KADAPA - 516 003. (A.P.)

IOAC

Co-ordinater

Internal Quality Assurance Cell (IQAC)

KSRM College of Engineering


Kadapa - 516 003

V. S.S. MW/G PRINCIPAL

Table 2: Student Feedback analysis report on R18UG curriculum

		PERCEN	TAGE WISI	E RESPONSE			
Q.No	Excellent(5)	Very	Good(3)	Satisfactory(2)	Poor(1)	Avg.	Grade
		Good(4)				Rating	
Q1	59.80	28.43	8.82	2.94	0.00	4.45	Excellent
Q2	54.90	31.37	9.80	3.92	0.00	4.37	Excellent
Q3	51.96	36.27	9.80	1.96	0.00	4.38	Excellent
Q4	60.78	28.43	7.84	2.94	0.00	4.47	Excellent
Q5	57.84	24.51	11.76	5.88	0.00	4.34	Excellent
Q6	63.73	23.53	7.84	4.90	0.00	4.46	Excellent
Q7	56.86	30.39	12.75	0.00	0.00	4.44	Excellent
Q8	57.84	28.43	11.76	1.96	0.00	4.42	Excellent

Average Rating	Grade
≥4	Excellent
4 <avg.rating≥3< td=""><td>Very good</td></avg.rating≥3<>	Very good
3 <avg.rating≥2< td=""><td>Good</td></avg.rating≥2<>	Good



Feedback Analysis of Students on R18UG, Curriculum for the AY 2019-20

Head

Department of Civil Engineering K.S.R.M. College of Engineering (Autonomous) KADAPA - 516 003. (A.P.)

IOAC

V. S. 5 Mm/g PRINCIPAL

PRINCIPAL K.S.R.M. COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING KADAPA-516005 (A.P.)

Co-ordinator
Internal Quality Assurance Cell (IQAC)
KSRM College of Engineering
Kadapa - 516 003

#### DEPARTMENT OF CIVIL ENGINEERING

## Feedback Analysis of Parents on Curriculum for the AY 2019-20

#### Feedback is collected from Parents on the following parameters


- Q1. Content and coverage of curriculum studied
- Q2. Adequacy of the core courses
- Q3. Ordering of the courses in the curriculum
- Q4. Adequacy of the elective courses
- Q5. Practical content in the curriculum
- Q6. Ability to cope with the current job challenges
- Q7. Ability to adopt new technologies
- Q8. Ability to handle projects/assignments as individual
- Q9. Ability to work in a team

The categorization of rating is as follows: Excellent, Very good, Good, Satisfactory, and Poor

Table 1: Parents Feedback analysis report on R15UG curriculum

		PERCEN'	TAGE WISI	E RESPONSE			
Q.No	Excellent(5)	Very	Good(3)	Satisfactory(2)	Poor(1)	Avg.	Grade
		Good(4)				Rating	
Q1	63.64	27.27	9.09	0.00	0.00	4.55	Excellent
Q2	72.73	18.18	9.09	0.00	0.00	4.64	Excellent
Q3	81.82	9.09	9.09	0.00	0.00	4.73	Excellent
Q4	90.91	9.09	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.91	Excellent
Q5	81.82	9.09	9.09	0.00	0.00	4.73	Excellent
Q6	90.91	9.09	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.91	Excellent
Q7	81.82	9.09	9.09	0.00	0.00	4.73	Excellent
Q8	72.73	18.18	9.09	0.00	0.00	4.64	Excellent
Q9	90.91	9.09	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.91	Excellent

Average Rating	Grade
≥4	Excellent
4 <avg.rating≥3< td=""><td>Very good</td></avg.rating≥3<>	Very good
3 <avg.rating≥2< td=""><td>Good</td></avg.rating≥2<>	Good



Feedback Analysis of Parents on R15UG Curriculum for the AY 2019-20

Head

Department of Civil Engineering K.S.R.M. College of Engineering (Autonomous) KADAPA - 516 003. (A.P.)

IOAC

Co-ordinator

Mernal Quality Assurance Cell (IQAC)

KSRM College of Engineering


Kadaya - 516 003

V. S. S. Muly PRINCIPAL

Table 2: Parents Feedback analysis report on R18UG curriculum

		PERCEN	TAGE WISI	E RESPONSE			
Q.No	Excellent(5)	Very	Good(3)	Satisfactory(2)	Poor(1)	Avg.	Grade
		Good(4)				Rating	
Q1	72.73	27.27	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.73	Excellent
Q2	63.64	18.18	18.18	0.00	0.00	4.45	Excellent
Q3	81.82	18.18	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.82	Excellent
Q4	81.82	18.18	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.82	Excellent
Q5	72.73	27.27	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.73	Excellent
Q6	90.91	9.09	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.91	Excellent
Q7	81.82	18.18	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.82	Excellent
Q8	72.73	18.18	9.09	0.00	0.00	4.64	Excellent
Q9	81.82	18.18	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.82	Excellent

Average Rating	Grade
≥4	Excellent
4 <avg.rating≥3< td=""><td>Very good</td></avg.rating≥3<>	Very good
3 <avg.rating≥2< td=""><td>Good</td></avg.rating≥2<>	Good



Feedback Analysis of Parents on R18UG Curriculum for the AY 2019-20

Department of Civil Engineering K.S.R.M. College of Engineering (Autonomous) KADAPA - 516 003. (A.P.)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL

V. S. S. Mm /9

PRINCIPAL K.S.R.M. COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING KADAPA-516005 (A.P)

Co-ordinator
Internal Quality Assurance Cell (IQAC)
KSRM College of Engineering
Kadapa - 516 003

#### DEPARTMENT OF CIVIL ENGINEERING

#### Feedback Analysis of Alumni on Curriculum for the AY 2019-20

#### Feedback is collected from Alumni on the following parameters


- Q1. Depth of the course content
- Q2. Extent of Coverage of Course
- Q3. Applicability or relevance to real life situations
- Q4. Learning values (in terms of knowledge, concepts, manual skills, analytical abilities, and broadening perspectives)
- Q5. Whether the knowledge is sufficient to face the competitive world
- Q6. Whether the syllabus gives practical exposers
- Q7. Whether it serves current industry needs
- Q8. Overall Rating

The categorization of rating is as follows: Excellent, Very good, Good, Satisfactory, and Poor

Table 1: Alumni Feedback analysis report on R15UG curriculum

		PERCEN'	TAGE WISI	E RESPONSE			
Q.No	Excellent(5)	Very	Good(3)	Satisfactory(2)	Poor(1)	Avg.	Grade
		Good(4)				Rating	
Q1	70.59	23.53	5.88	0.00	0.00	4.65	Excellent
Q2	76.47	11.76	5.88	5.88	0.00	4.59	Excellent
Q3	76.47	11.76	5.88	5.88	0.00	4.59	Excellent
Q4	64.71	17.65	11.76	5.88	0.00	4.41	Excellent
Q5	88.24	5.88	5.88	0.00	0.00	4.82	Excellent
Q6	76.47	11.76	11.76	0.00	0.00	4.65	Excellent
Q7	82.35	11.76	0.00	5.88	0.00	4.71	Excellent
Q8	70.59	17.65	11.76	0.00	0.00	4.59	Excellent

Average Rating	Grade
≥4	Excellent
4 <avg.rating≥3< td=""><td>Very good</td></avg.rating≥3<>	Very good
3 <avg.rating≥2< td=""><td>Good</td></avg.rating≥2<>	Good



Feedback Analysis of Alumni on R15UG Curriculum for the AY 2019-20

Department of Civil Engineering K.S.R.M. College of Engineering (Autonomous)

KADAPA - 516 003. (A.P.)

Co-erdinator Internal Quality Assurance Cell (IQAC) KSRM College of Engineering


Kadapa - 516 003

V.S.S. MMG PRINCIPAL

Table 2: Alumni Feedback analysis report on R18UG curriculum

		PERCEN	TAGE WISI	E RESPONSE			
Q.No	Excellent(5)	Very	Good(3)	Satisfactory(2)	Poor(1)	Avg.	Grade
		Good(4)				Rating	
Q1	70.59	29.41	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.71	Excellent
Q2	76.47	11.76	11.76	0.00	0.00	4.65	Excellent
Q3	76.47	11.76	11.76	0.00	0.00	4.65	Excellent
Q4	70.59	17.65	11.76	0.00	0.00	4.59	Excellent
Q5	82.35	11.76	5.88	0.00	0.00	4.76	Excellent
Q6	76.47	11.76	11.76	0.00	0.00	4.65	Excellent
Q7	70.59	23.53	5.88	0.00	0.00	4.65	Excellent
Q8	70.59	17.65	11.76	0.00	0.00	4.59	Excellent

Average Rating	Grade
≥4	Excellent
4 <avg.rating≥3< td=""><td>Very good</td></avg.rating≥3<>	Very good
3 <avg.rating≥2< td=""><td>Good</td></avg.rating≥2<>	Good



Feedback Analysis of Alumni on R18UG Curriculum for the AY 2019–20

Department of Civil Engineering K.S.R.M. College of Engineering (Autonomous)

KADAPA - 516 003. (A.P.)

V. S. S. Mw G PRINCIPAL

Co-ordinator Internal Quality Assurance Cell (IQAC) KSRM College of Engineering Kadapa - 516 003

#### DEPARTMENT OF CIVIL ENGINEERING

# Feedback Analysis of Employer on Curriculum for the AY 2019-20

### Feedback is collected from Employer on the following parameters


- Q1. Basics of Computer Science
- Q2. Fundamentals of Mathematics and Science of the Students
- Q3. Algorithm Design Techniques
- Q4. Knowledge on Recent Tools and their Usage
- Q5. Practical Skills
- Q6. Scope for New Ideas / innovations
- Q7. Suitable for new technologies
- Q8. Serving the Industry needs
- Q9. Overall Rating

The categorization of rating is as follows: Excellent, Very good, Good, Satisfactory, and Poor

Table 1: Employer Feedback analysis report on R15UG curriculum

		PERCEN'	TAGE WISI	E RESPONSE			
Q.No	Excellent(5)	Very	Good(3)	Satisfactory(2)	Poor(1)	Avg.	Grade
		Good(4)				Rating	
Q1	80.00	20.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.80	Excellent
Q2	60.00	20.00	20.00	0.00	0.00	4.40	Excellent
Q3	80.00	0.00	20.00	0.00	0.00	4.60	Excellent
Q4	60.00	20.00	20.00	0.00	0.00	4.40	Excellent
Q5	100.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	5.00	Excellent
Q6	80.00	20.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.80	Excellent
Q7	60.00	20.00	20.00	0.00	0.00	4.40	Excellent
Q8	80.00	20.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.80	Excellent
Q9	80.00	20.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.80	Excellent

Average Rating	Grade
≥4	Excellent
4 <avg.rating≥3< td=""><td>Very good</td></avg.rating≥3<>	Very good
3 <avg.rating≥2< td=""><td>Good</td></avg.rating≥2<>	Good



Feedback Analysis of Employer on R15UG Curriculum for the AY 2019-20

Head

Department of Civil Engineering K.S.R.M. College of Engineering (Autonomous)

KADAPA - 516 003. (A.P.)

IOAC


Co-ordinator
Internal Quality Assurance Cell (IQAC)
KSRM College of Engineering
Kadapa - 516 003

V. S. S. Mwy PRINCIPAL

Table 2: Employer Feedback analysis report on R18UG curriculum

		PERCEN	TAGE WISI	E RESPONSE			
Q.No	Excellent(5)	Very	Good(3)	Satisfactory(2)	Poor(1)	Avg.	Grade
		Good(4)				Rating	
Q1	80.00	20.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.80	Excellent
Q2	60.00	20.00	20.00	0.00	0.00	4.40	Excellent
Q3	80.00	0.00	20.00	0.00	0.00	4.60	Excellent
Q4	60.00	20.00	20.00	0.00	0.00	4.40	Excellent
Q5	80.00	20.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.80	Excellent
Q6	100.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	5.00	Excellent
Q7	80.00	20.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.80	Excellent
Q8	80.00	20.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.80	Excellent
Q9	80.00	20.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.80	Excellent

Average Rating	Grade
≥4	Excellent
4 <avg.rating≥3< td=""><td>Very good</td></avg.rating≥3<>	Very good
3 <avg.rating≥2< td=""><td>Good</td></avg.rating≥2<>	Good



Feedback Analysis of Employer on R18UG Curriculum for the AY 2019-20

Head
Department of Civil Engineering
K.S.R.M. College of Engineering
(Autonomous)
KADAPA - 516 003. (A.P.)

**IQAC** 

Co-ordinator
Internal Quality Assurance Cell (IQAC)
KSRM College of Engineering
Kadapa - 516 003

V. S. S. Mm/g PRINCIPAL

#### DEPARTMENT OF CIVIL ENGINEERING

## Feedback Analysis of Faculty on Curriculum for the AY 2019-20

### Feedback is collected from Faculty on the following parameters


- Q1. Depth of the course content
- Q2. Extent of Coverage of Course
- Q3. Applicability or relevance to real life situations
- Q4. Learning values (in terms of knowledge, concepts, manual skills, analytical abilities, and broadening perspectives)
- Q5. Clarity and relevance of textual reading material
- Q6. Relevance of additional source material (library)
- Q7. Extent of effort required by students
- Q8. Overall Rating

The categorization of rating is as follows: Excellent, Very good, Good, Satisfactory, and Poor

Table 1: Faculty Feedback analysis report on R15UG curriculum

		PERCEN	TAGE WISI	E RESPONSE			
Q.No	Excellent(5)	Very	Good(3)	Satisfactory(2)	Poor(1)	Avg.	Grade
		Good(4)				Rating	
Q1	85.29	11.76	2.94	0.00	0.00	4.82	Excellent
Q2	91.18	2.94	2.94	0.00	0.00	4.76	Excellent
Q3	82.35	11.76	5.88	0.00	0.00	4.76	Excellent
Q4	85.29	8.82	5.88	0.00	0.00	4.79	Excellent
Q5	88.24	11.76	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.88	Excellent
Q6	91.18	8.82	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.91	Excellent
Q7	82.35	11.76	5.88	0.00	0.00	4.76	Excellent
Q8	88.24	5.88	5.88	0.00	0.00	4.82	Excellent

Average Rating	Grade
≥4	Excellent
4 <avg.rating≥3< td=""><td>Very good</td></avg.rating≥3<>	Very good
3 <avg.rating≥2< td=""><td>Good</td></avg.rating≥2<>	Good



Feedback Analysis of Faculty on R15UG Curriculum for the AY 2019-20

Head

Department of Civil Engineering K.S.R.M. College of Engineering (Autonomous) KADAPA - 516 003. (A.P.)

IQAC

V. S. S. Mw/g PRINCIPAL

PRINCIPAL

K.S.R.M. COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING


KADAPA-516005 (A.P)

Co-ordinator
Internal Quality Assurance Cell (IQAC)
KSRM College of Engineering
Kadapa - 516 003

Table 2: Faculty Feedback analysis report on R18UG curriculum

		PERCEN	TAGE WISI	E RESPONSE			
Q.No	Excellent(5)	Very	Good(3)	Satisfactory(2)	Poor(1)	Avg.	Grade
		Good(4)				Rating	
Q1	85.29	11.76	2.94	0.00	0.00	4.82	Excellent
Q2	91.18	2.94	2.94	0.00	0.00	4.76	Excellent
Q3	82.35	11.76	5.88	0.00	0.00	4.76	Excellent
Q4	85.29	8.82	5.88	0.00	0.00	4.79	Excellent
Q5	88.24	11.76	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.88	Excellent
Q6	91.18	8.82	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.91	Excellent
Q7	82.35	11.76	5.88	0.00	0.00	4.76	Excellent
Q8	88.24	5.88	5.88	0.00	0.00	4.82	Excellent

Average Rating	Grade
≥4	Excellent
4 <avg.rating≥3< td=""><td>Very good</td></avg.rating≥3<>	Very good
3 <avg.rating≥2< td=""><td>Good</td></avg.rating≥2<>	Good



Feedback Analysis of Faculty on R18UG Curriculum for the AY 2019-20

Department of Civil Engineering K.S.R.M. College of Engineering (Autonomous)

KADAPA - 516 003. (A.P.)

Co-ordinator Internal Quality Assurance Cell (IQAC) KSRM College of Engineering Kadapa - 516 003

V. S. S. Mmly

**PRINCIPAL** 



# K.S.R.M. COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING

#### (UGC-AUTONOMOUS)

Kadapa, Andhra Pradesh, India-516 003

Approved by AICTE, New Delhi & Affiliated to JNTUA, Ananthapuramu

An ISO 14001:2004 & 9001: 2015 Certified Institution

#### **DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRICAL AND ELECTRONICS ENGINEERING**

#### Stakeholders Feedback Analysis on Curriculum for the AY 2019-20

The Department of ELECTRICAL AND ELECTRONICS ENGINEERING collects feedback from the stakeholders to monitor and evaluate the quality of curriculum and curriculum related issues. The feedback forms were collected from students, parents, Alumni, Employer and faculty on several aspects of curriculum and its learning related issues in terms of quality, competence, skills and professionalism. The report of feedback analysis is submitted to IQAC for necessary action and thereafter the analysis is shared with BoS Committee to take necessary measures and actions as to comply with the issues raised by the Stakeholders in New Curriculum.

# DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRICAL AND ELECTRONICS ENGINEERING DETAILED FEEDBACK ANALYSIS REPORT

#### Feedback Analysis of Students on Curriculum for the AY 2019-20

#### Feedback is collected from students on the following parameters


- Q1. Depth of the course content
- Q2. Extent of Coverage of Course
- Q3. Applicability or relevance to real life situations
- Q4. Learning values (in terms of knowledge, concepts, manual skills, analytical abilities, and broadening perspectives)
- Q5. Clarity and relevance of textual reading material
- Q6. Relevance of additional source material (library)
- Q7. Extent of effort required by students
- Q8. Overall Rating

The categorization of rating is as follows: Excellent, Very good, Good, Satisfactory, and Poor

Table 1: Student Feedback Analysis Report On R15UG Curriculum

		PERCEN'	TAGE WISI	E RESPONSE			
Q.No	Excellent(5)	Very	Good(3)	Satisfactory(2)	Poor(1)	Avg.	Grade
		Good(4)				Rating	
Q1	50.00	36.84	7.89	5.26	0.00	4.32	Excellent
Q2	47.37	34.21	10.53	7.89	0.00	4.21	Excellent
Q3	42.11	39.47	13.16	5.26	0.00	4.18	Excellent
Q4	47.37	34.21	18.42	0.00	0.00	4.29	Excellent
Q5	44.74	31.58	15.79	7.89	0.00	4.13	Excellent
Q6	47.37	26.32	15.79	10.53	0.00	4.11	Excellent
Q7	50.00	23.68	18.42	7.89	0.00	4.16	Excellent
Q8	47.37	28.95	18.42	5.26	0.00	4.18	Excellent

Average Rating	Grade
≥4	Excellent
4 <avg.rating≥3< td=""><td>Very good</td></avg.rating≥3<>	Very good
3 <avg.rating≥2< td=""><td>Good</td></avg.rating≥2<>	Good



Feedback Analysis of Students on R15UG Curriculum for the AY 2019-20

Jonwordh HEAD

Department of Electrical & Electronics Engineering K.S.R.M. College of Engineering Kadapa -516003.

IQAC


Co-ordinator
Internal Quality Assurance Cell (IQAC)
KSRM College of Engineering
Kadapa - 516 003

PRINCIPAL

Table 2: Student Feedback Analysis Report On R18UG Curriculum

		PERCEN	TAGE WISI	E RESPONSE			
Q.No	Excellent(5)	Very	Good(3)	Satisfactory(2)	Poor(1)	Avg.	Grade
		Good(4)		• ( )		Rating	
Q1	51.43	40.00	8.57	0.00	0.00	4.43	Excellent
Q2	57.14	28.57	8.57	5.71	0.00	4.37	Excellent
Q3	51.43	34.29	14.29	0.00	0.00	4.37	Excellent
Q4	45.71	31.43	14.29	8.57	0.00	4.14	Excellent
Q5	48.57	34.29	11.43	5.71	0.00	4.26	Excellent
Q6	40.00	34.29	17.14	8.57	0.00	4.06	Excellent
Q7	51.43	22.86	20.00	5.71	0.00	4.20	Excellent
Q8	54.29	28.57	14.29	2.86	0.00	4.34	Excellent

Grade
Excellent
Very good
Good



Feedback Analysis of Students on R18UG Curriculum for the AY 2019-20

HEAD Department of Floctric

Department of Electrical & Electronics Engineering K.S.R.M. College of Engineering Kadapa -516003.

IQAC

Co-ordinator
Internal Quality Assurance Cell (IQAC)
KSRM College of Engineering
Kadapa - 516 003

V. S.S. MW/T PRINCIPAL

#### DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRICAL AND ELECTRONICS ENGINEERING

#### Feedback Analysis of Parents on Curriculum for the AY 2019-20

#### Feedback is collected from Parents on the following parameters


- Q1. Content and coverage of curriculum studied
- Q2. Adequacy of the core courses
- Q3. Ordering of the courses in the curriculum
- Q4. Adequacy of the elective courses
- Q5. Practical content in the curriculum
- Q6. Ability to cope with the current job challenges
- Q7. Ability to adopt new technologies
- Q8. Ability to handle projects/assignments as individual
- Q9. Ability to work in a team

The categorization of rating is as follows: Excellent, Very good, Good, Satisfactory, and Poor

Table 1: Parents Feedback analysis report on R15UG curriculum

	PERCENTAGE WISE RESPONSE						
Q.No	Excellent(5)	Very	Good(3)	Satisfactory(2)	Poor(1)	Avg.	Grade
		Good(4)				Rating	
Q1	63.64	36.36	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.64	Excellent
Q2	72.73	18.18	9.09	0.00	0.00	4.64	Excellent
Q3	90.91	9.09	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.91	Excellent
Q4	81.82	9.09	9.09	0.00	0.00	4.73	Excellent
Q5	72.73	18.18	9.09	0.00	0.00	4.64	Excellent
Q6	63.64	18.18	18.18	0.00	0.00	4.45	Excellent
Q7	63.64	27.27	9.09	0.00	0.00	4.55	Excellent
Q8	72.73	18.18	9.09	0.00	0.00	4.64	Excellent
Q9	90.91	9.09	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.91	Excellent

Average Rating	Grade
≥4	Excellent
4 <avg.rating≥3< td=""><td>Very good</td></avg.rating≥3<>	Very good
3 <avg.rating≥2< td=""><td>Good</td></avg.rating≥2<>	Good



Feedback Analysis of Parents on R15UG Curriculum for the AY 2019-20

denared HEAD

Department of Electrical & Electronics Engineering K.S.R.M. College of Engineering Kadapa -516003.

IQAC

Co-ordinator


Internal Quality Assurance Cell (IQAC)
KSRM College of Engineering
Kadapa - 516 003

PRINCIPAL

Table 2: Parents Feedback analysis report on R18UG curriculum

	PERCENTAGE WISE RESPONSE						
Q.No	Excellent(5)	Very	Good(3)	Satisfactory(2)	Poor(1)	Avg.	Grade
		Good(4)				Rating	
Q1	72.73	27.27	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.73	Excellent
Q2	72.73	18.18	9.09	0.00	0.00	4.64	Excellent
Q3	81.82	18.18	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.82	Excellent
Q4	81.82	18.18	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.82	Excellent
Q5	72.73	27.27	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.73	Excellent
Q6	81.82	18.18	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.82	Excellent
Q7	72.73	18.18	9.09	0.00	0.00	4.64	Excellent
Q8	63.64	18.18	18.18	0.00	0.00	4.45	Excellent
Q9	81.82	18.18	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.82	Excellent

Average Rating	Grade
≥4	Excellent
4 <avg.rating≥3< td=""><td>Very good</td></avg.rating≥3<>	Very good
3 <avg.rating≥2< td=""><td>Good</td></avg.rating≥2<>	Good



Feedback Analysis of Parents on R18UG Curriculum for the AY 2019-20

prover

Department of Electrical & Electronics Engineering K.S.R.M. College of Engineering

Kadapa -516003.

V.s.s. Mm/5 **PRINCIPAL** 

PRINCIPAL K.S.R.M. COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING KADAPA-516005 (A.P)

Co-ordinator Internal Quality Assurance Cell (IQAC) KSRM College of Engineering Kadapa - 516 003

## DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRICAL AND ELECTRONICS ENGINEERING

## Feedback Analysis of Alumni on Curriculum for the AY 2019-20

### Feedback is collected from Alumni on the following parameters


- Q1. Depth of the course content
- Q2. Extent of Coverage of Course
- Q3. Applicability or relevance to real life situations
- Q4. Learning values (in terms of knowledge, concepts, manual skills, analytical abilities, and broadening perspectives)
- Q5. Whether the knowledge is sufficient to face the competitive world
- Q6. Whether the syllabus gives practical exposers
- Q7. Whether it serves current industry needs
- Q8. Overall Rating

The categorization of rating is as follows: Excellent, Very good, Good, Satisfactory, and Poor

Table 1: Alumni Feedback Analysis Report On R15UG Curriculum

	PERCENTAGE WISE RESPONSE						
Q.No	Excellent(5)	Very	Good(3)	Satisfactory(2)	Poor(1)	Avg.	Grade
		Good(4)				Rating	
Q1	80.00	20.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.80	Excellent
Q2	70.00	20.00	10.00	0.00	0.00	4.60	Excellent
Q3	70.00	30.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.70	Excellent
Q4	80.00	20.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.80	Excellent
Q5	70.00	20.00	10.00	0.00	0.00	4.60	Excellent
Q6	100.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	5.00	Excellent
Q7	80.00	20.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.80	Excellent
Q8	80.00	20.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.80	Excellent

Average Rating	Grade
≥4	Excellent
4 <avg.rating≥3< td=""><td>Very good</td></avg.rating≥3<>	Very good
3 <avg.rating≥2< td=""><td>Good</td></avg.rating≥2<>	Good



Feedback Analysis of Alumni on R15UG Curriculum for the AY 2019-20

HEAD

Department of Electrical & Electronics Engineering K.S.R.M. College of Engineering Kadapa -516003.

IQAC


Co-ordinator
Internal Quality Assurance Cell (IQAC)
KSRM College of Engineering
Kadapa - 516 003

V.S.S. Mm/g PRINCIPAL

Table 2: Alumni Feedback Analysis Report On R18UG Curriculum

Q.No	Excellent(5)	Very	Good(3)	Satisfactory(2)	Poor(1)	Avg.	Grade
		Good(4)				Rating	
Q1	80.00	20.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.80	Excellent
Q2	70.00	30.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.70	Excellent
Q3	90.00	10.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.90	Excellent
Q4	80.00	20.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.80	Excellent
Q5	70.00	30.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.70	Excellent
Q6	90.00	10.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.90	Excellent
Q7	80.00	20.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.80	Excellent
Q8	90.00	10.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.90	Excellent

Average Rating	Grade
≥4	Excellent
4 <avg.rating≥3< td=""><td>Very good</td></avg.rating≥3<>	Very good
3 <avg.rating≥2< td=""><td>Good</td></avg.rating≥2<>	Good



Feedback Analysis of Alumni on R18UG Curriculum for the AY 2019-20

marely 1EAD Department of Electrical & **Electronics Engineering** K.S.R.M. College of Engineering Kadapa -516003.

V.S.S. MMly **PRINCIPAL** 

PRINCIPAL K.S.R.M. COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING KADAPA-516005 (A.P)

Co-ordinator Internal Quality Assurance Cell (IQAC) KSRM College of Engineering

Kadapa - 516 003

#### DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRICAL AND ELECTRONICS ENGINEERING

#### Feedback Analysis of Employer on Curriculum for the AY 2019-20

### Feedback is collected from Employer on the following parameters


- Q1. Basics of Computer Science
- Q2. Fundamentals of Mathematics and Science of the Students
- Q3. Algorithm Design Techniques
- Q4. Knowledge on Recent Tools and their Usage
- Q5. Practical Skills
- Q6. Scope for New Ideas / innovations
- Q7. Suitable for new technologies
- Q8. Serving the Industry needs
- Q9. Overall Rating

The categorization of rating is as follows: Excellent, Very good, Good, Satisfactory, and Poor

Table 1: Employer Feedback Analysis Report On R15UG Curriculum

	PERCENTAGE WISE RESPONSE						
Q.No	Excellent(5)	Very	Good(3)	Satisfactory(2)	Poor(1)	Avg.	Grade
		Good(4)				Rating	
Q1	60.00	40.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.60	Excellent
Q2	60.00	60.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	5.40	Excellent
Q3	80.00	20.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.80	Excellent
Q4	100.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	5.00	Excellent
Q5	60.00	40.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.60	Excellent
Q6	60.00	40.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.60	Excellent
Q7	80.00	20.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.80	Excellent
Q8	100.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	5.00	Excellent
Q9	80.00	20.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.80	Excellent

Average Rating	Grade
≥4	Excellent
4 <avg.rating≥3< td=""><td>Very good</td></avg.rating≥3<>	Very good
3 <avg.rating≥2< td=""><td>Good</td></avg.rating≥2<>	Good



Feedback Analysis of Employer on R15UG Curriculum for the AY 2019-20

HEAD

Department of Electrical & Electronics Engineering K.S.R.M. College of Engineering Kadapa -516003.

V. 2.2.V


PRÎNCIPAL K.S.R.M. COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING KADAPA-516005 (A.P)

Co-ordinator
Internal Quality Assurance Cell (IQAC)
KSRM College of Engineering
Kadapa - 516 003

Table 2: Employer Feedback Analysis Report On R18UG Curriculum

	PERCENTAGE WISE RESPONSE						
Q.No	Excellent(5)	Very	Good(3)	Satisfactory(2)	Poor(1)	Avg.	Grade
		Good(4)				Rating	
Q1	80.00	20.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.80	Excellent
Q2	60.00	40.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.60	Excellent
Q3	80.00	20.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.80	Excellent
Q4	60.00	40.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.60	Excellent
Q5	80.00	20.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.80	Excellent
Q6	60.00	40.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.60	Excellent
Q7	100.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	5.00	Excellent
Q8	60.00	40.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.60	Excellent
Q9	80.00	20.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.80	Excellent

Average Rating	Grade		
≥4	Excellent		
4 <avg.rating≥3< td=""><td>Very good</td></avg.rating≥3<>	Very good		
3 <avg.rating≥2< td=""><td>Good</td></avg.rating≥2<>	Good		



Feedback Analysis of Employer on R18UG Curriculum for the AY 2019-20

morelle

QAC

Department of Electrical & Electronics Engineering K.S.R.M. College of Engineering Kadapa -516003.

V. S. S. M M Y PRINCIPAL

Co-ordinator
Internal Quality Assurance Cell (IQAC)
KSRM College of Engineering
Kadapa - 516 003

## DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRICAL AND ELECTRONICS ENGINEERING

# Feedback Analysis of Faculty on Curriculum for the AY 2019-20

#### Feedback is collected from Faculty on the following parameters


- Q1. Depth of the course content
- Q2. Extent of Coverage of Course
- Q3. Applicability or relevance to real life situations
- Q4. Learning values (in terms of knowledge, concepts, manual skills, analytical abilities, and broadening perspectives)
- Q5. Clarity and relevance of textual reading material
- Q6. Relevance of additional source material (library)
- Q7. Extent of effort required by students
- Q8. Overall Rating

The categorization of rating is as follows: Excellent, Very good, Good, Satisfactory, and Poor

Table 1: Faculty Feedback Analysis Report On R15UG Curriculum

		PERCEN	TAGE WISI	E RESPONSE			
Q.No	Excellent(5)	Very	Good(3)	Satisfactory(2)	Poor(1)	Avg.	Grade
-		Good(4)				Rating	
Q1	81.25	18.75	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.81	Excellent
Q2	81.25	18.75	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.81	Excellent
Q3	87.50	12.50	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.88	Excellent
Q4	75.00	25.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.75	Excellent
Q5	87.50	12.50	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.88	Excellent
Q6	81.25	18.75	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.81	Excellent
Q7	81.25	18.75	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.81	Excellent
Q8	93.75	6.25	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.94	Excellent

Average Rating	Grade
≥4	Excellent
4 <avg.rating≥3< td=""><td>Very good</td></avg.rating≥3<>	Very good
3 <avg.rating≥2< td=""><td>Good</td></avg.rating≥2<>	Good



Feedback Analysis of Faculty on R15UG Curriculum for the AY 2019-20

HEAD

Department of Electrical & Electronics Engineering K.S.R.M. College of Engineering Kadapa -516003.

IOAC


Co-ordinator
Internal Quality Assurance Cell (IQAC)
KSRM College of Engineering
Radana - 516 003

PRINCIPAL

Table 2: Faculty Feedback Analysis Report On R18UG Curriculum

		DEDCE					
		PERCEN	TAGE WISI	<b>E RESPONSE</b>			
Q.No	Excellent(5)	Very	Good(3)	Satisfactory(2)	Poor(1)	Avg.	Grade
		Good(4)				Rating	
Q1	87.50	12.50	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.88	Excellent
Q2	75.00	25.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.75	Excellent
Q3	81.25	18.75	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.81	Excellent
Q4	81.25	18.75	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.81	Excellent
Q5	87.50	12.50	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.88	Excellent
Q6	75.00	25.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.75	Excellent
Q7	81.25	18.75	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.81	Excellent
Q8	87.50	12.50	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.88	Excellent

Average Rating	Grade
≥4	Excellent
4 <avg.rating≥3< td=""><td>Very good</td></avg.rating≥3<>	Very good
3 <avg.rating≥2< td=""><td>Good</td></avg.rating≥2<>	Good



Feedback Analysis of Faculty on R18UG Curriculum for the AY 2019-20

HEAD

Department of Electrical & Electronics Engineering K.S.R.M. College of Engineering Kadapa -516003.

AC

Co-ordinator
Internal Quality Assurance Cell (IQAC)
KSRM College of Engineering
Kadana - 516 003

V. S. S. Mmly



# K.S.R.M. COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING

#### (UGC-AUTONOMOUS)

Kadapa, Andhra Pradesh, India-516 003

Approved by AICTE, New Delhi & Affiliated to JNTUA, Ananthapuramu

An ISO 14001:2004 & 9001: 2015 Certified Institution

#### **DEPARTMENT OF MECHANICAL ENGINEERING**

#### Stakeholders Feedback Analysis on Curriculum for the AY 2019-20

The Department of Mechanical Engineering collects feedback from the stakeholders to monitor and evaluate the quality of curriculum and curriculum related issues. The feedback forms were collected from students, parents, Alumni, Employer and faculty on several aspects of curriculum and its learning related issues in terms of quality, competence, skills and professionalism. The report of feedback analysis is submitted to IQAC for necessary action and thereafter the analysis is shared with BoS Committee to take necessary measures and actions as to comply with the issues raised by the Stakeholders in New Curriculum.

# DEPARTMENT OF MECHANICAL ENGINEERING DETAILED FEEDBACK ANALYSIS REPORT

#### Feedback Analysis of Students on Curriculum for the AY 2019-20

#### Feedback is collected from students on the following parameters


- Q1. Depth of the course content
- Q2. Extent of Coverage of Course
- Q3. Applicability or relevance to real life situations
- Q4. Learning values (in terms of knowledge, concepts, manual skills, analytical abilities, and broadening perspectives)
- Q5. Clarity and relevance of textual reading material
- Q6. Relevance of additional source material (library)
- Q7. Extent of effort required by students
- Q8. Overall Rating

The categorization of rating is as follows: Excellent, Very good, Good, Satisfactory, and Poor

Table 1: Student Feedback Analysis Report On R15UG Curriculum

		PERCEN	TAGE WISI	E RESPONSE			
Q.No	Excellent(5)	Very	Good(3)	Satisfactory(2)	Poor(1)	Avg.	Grade
		Good(4)				Rating	
Q1	47.62	38.10	7.94	6.35	0.00	4.27	Excellent
Q2	44.44	33.33	17.46	4.76	0.00	4.17	Excellent
Q3	41.27	23.81	25.40	6.35	0.00	3.90	Very Good
Q4	44.44	36.51	14.29	4.76	0.00	4.21	Excellent
Q5	42.86	34.92	14.29	7.94	0.00	4.13	Excellent
Q6	44.44	31.75	14.29	9.52	0.00	4.11	Excellent
Q7	46.03	30.16	19.05	4.76	0.00	4.17	Excellent
Q8	44.44	28.57	22.22	4.76	0.00	4.13	Excellent

Average Rating	Grade
≥4	Excellent
4 <avg.rating≥3< td=""><td>Very good</td></avg.rating≥3<>	Very good
3 <avg.rating≥2< td=""><td>Good</td></avg.rating≥2<>	Good



Feedback Analysis of Students on R15UG Curriculum for the AY 2019-20

Professor & Head

Department of Mechnical Engineering

K.S.R.M. College of Engineering

KADAPA - 516 003.

IQAC


Co-ordinator
Internal Quality Assurance Cell (IQAC)
KSR M College of Engineering
Kadapa - 516 003

V. S. S. Mmly PRINCIPAL

Table 2: Student Feedback Analysis Report On R18UG Curriculum

		PERCEN	TAGE WISI	E RESPONSE			
Q.No	Excellent(5)	Very	Good(3)	Satisfactory(2)	Poor(1)	Avg.	Grade
		Good(4)				Rating	
Q1	49.23	36.92	7.69	6.15	0.00	4.29	Excellent
Q2	46.15	32.31	16.92	4.62	0.00	4.20	Excellent
Q3	43.08	27.69	23.08	6.15	0.00	4.08	Excellent
Q4	43.08	35.38	16.92	4.62	0.00	4.17	Excellent
Q5	44.62	33.85	13.85	7.69	0.00	4.15	Excellent
Q6	43.08	30.77	16.92	9.23	0.00	4.08	Excellent
Q7	47.69	29.23	18.46	4.62	0.00	4.20	Excellent
Q8	46.15	27.69	21.54	4.62	0.00	4.15	Excellent

Average Rating	Grade
≥4	Excellent
4 <avg.rating≥3< td=""><td>Very good</td></avg.rating≥3<>	Very good
3 <avg.rating≥2< td=""><td>Good</td></avg.rating≥2<>	Good



Feedback Analysis of Students on R18UG Curriculum for the AY 2019-20

Department of Mechnical Engineering K.S.R.M. College of Engineering KADAPA - 516 003.

IQAC

V. S. S. Mm ly PRINCIPAL

RINCIPAL

K.S.R.M. COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING

KADAPA-516005 (A.P)

Co-ordinator
Internal Quality Assurance Cell (IQAC)
KSRM College of Engineering
Radapa - 516 003

#### DEPARTMENT OF MECHANICAL ENGINEERING

#### Feedback Analysis of Parents on Curriculum for the AY 2019-20

#### Feedback is collected from Parents on the following parameters


- Q1. Content and coverage of curriculum studied
- Q2. Adequacy of the core courses
- Q3. Ordering of the courses in the curriculum
- Q4. Adequacy of the elective courses
- Q5. Practical content in the curriculum
- Q6. Ability to cope with the current job challenges
- Q7. Ability to adopt new technologies
- Q8. Ability to handle projects/assignments as individual
- Q9. Ability to work in a team

The categorization of rating is as follows: Excellent, Very good, Good, Satisfactory, and Poor

Table 1: Parents Feedback Analysis Report On R15UG Curriculum

	PERCENTAGE WISE RESPONSE						
Q.No	Excellent(5)	Very	Good(3)	Satisfactory(2)	Poor(1)	Avg.	Grade
		Good(4)				Rating	
Q1	73.33	26.67	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.73	Excellent
Q2	80.00	13.33	6.67	0.00	0.00	4.73	Excellent
Q3	86.67	13.33	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.87	Excellent
Q4	80.00	13.33	6.67	0.00	0.00	4.73	Excellent
Q5	86.67	13.33	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.87	Excellent
Q6	73.33	13.33	13.33	0.00	0.00	4.60	Excellent
Q7	80.00	13.33	6.67	0.00	0.00	4.73	Excellent
Q8	60.00	26.67	13.33	0.00	0.00	4.47	Excellent
Q9	66.67	33.33	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.67	Excellent

Average Rating	Grade
≥4	Excellent
4 <avg.rating≥3< td=""><td>Very good</td></avg.rating≥3<>	Very good
3 <avg.rating≥2< td=""><td>Good</td></avg.rating≥2<>	Good



Feedback Analysis of Parents on R15UG Curriculum for the AY 2019-20

K.S.R.M. College of Engineering KADAPA - 516 003. **Sechnical Engineering** 


Co-ordinator Internal Quality Assurance Cell (IQAC) KSRM College of Engineering Kadapa - 516 003

V. s.s. mm/9 **PRINCIPAL** 

Table 2: Parents Feedback Analysis Report On R18UG Curriculum

	PERCENTAGE WISE RESPONSE						
Q.No	Excellent(5)	Very	Good(3)	Satisfactory(2)	Poor(1)	Avg.	Grade
		Good(4)				Rating	
Q1	80.00	20.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.80	Excellent
Q2	86.67	13.33	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.87	Excellent
Q3	73.33	26.67	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.73	Excellent
Q4	80.00	20.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.80	Excellent
Q5	86.67	13.33	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.87	Excellent
Q6	80.00	20.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.80	Excellent
Q7	66.67	33.33	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.67	Excellent
Q8	73.33	26.67	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.73	Excellent
Q9	73.33	26.67	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.73	Excellent

Average Rating	Grade
≥4	Excellent
4 <avg.rating≥3< td=""><td>Very good</td></avg.rating≥3<>	Very good
3 <avg.rating≥2< td=""><td>Good</td></avg.rating≥2<>	Good



Feedback Analysis of Parents on R18UG Curriculum for the AY 2019-20

Department of Mechnical Engineering K.S.R.M. College of Engineering KADAPA - 516 003.

IOAC

V.S.S. Mw/g PRINCIPAL

Co-ordinator Internal Quality Assurance Cell (IQAC) KSRM College of Engineering Kedana - 516 003

#### DEPARTMENT OF MECHANICAL ENGINEERING

#### Feedback Analysis of Alumni on Curriculum for the AY 2019-20

#### Feedback is collected from Alumni on the following parameters


- Q1. Depth of the course content
- Q2. Extent of Coverage of Course
- Q3. Applicability or relevance to real life situations
- Q4. Learning values (in terms of knowledge, concepts, manual skills, analytical abilities, and broadening perspectives)
- Q5. Whether the knowledge is sufficient to face the competitive world
- Q6. Whether the syllabus gives practical exposers
- Q7. Whether it serves current industry needs
- Q8. Overall Rating

The categorization of rating is as follows: Excellent, Very good, Good, Satisfactory, and Poor

Table 1: Alumni Feedback Analysis Report On R15UG Curriculum

	PERCENTAGE WISE RESPONSE						
Q.No	Excellent(5)	Very	Good(3)	Satisfactory(2)	Poor(1)	Avg.	Grade
		Good(4)				Rating	
Q1	66.67	16.67	16.67	0.00	0.00	4.50	Excellent
Q2	75.00	16.67	8.33	0.00	0.00	4.67	Excellent
Q3	58.33	25.00	16.67	0.00	0.00	4.42	Excellent
Q4	66.67	33.33	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.67	Excellent
Q5	66.67	25.00	8.33	0.00	0.00	4.58	Excellent
Q6	83.33	16.67	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.83	Excellent
Q7	58.33	25.00	16.67	0.00	0.00	4.42	Excellent
Q8	83.33	16.67	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.83	Excellent

Average Rating	Grade
≥4	Excellent
4 <avg.rating≥3< td=""><td>Very good</td></avg.rating≥3<>	Very good
3 <avg.rating≥2< td=""><td>Good</td></avg.rating≥2<>	Good



Feedback Analysis of Alumni on R15UG Curriculum for the AY 2019-20

Department of Machinical Engineering K.S.R.M. College of Engineering KADAPA 516 003

Co-ordinator

Internal Quality Assurance Cell (IQAC) KSRM College of Engineering


Kadapa - 516 003

V. S. S. Mm/g PRINCIPAL

Table 2: Alumni Feedback Analysis Report On R18UG Curriculum

	PERCENTAGE WISE RESPONSE						
Q.No	Excellent(5)	Very	Good(3)	Satisfactory(2)	Poor(1)	Avg.	Grade
		Good(4)				Rating	
Q1	75.00	25.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.75	Excellent
Q2	83.33	16.67	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.83	Excellent
Q3	75.00	25.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.75	Excellent
Q4	75.00	25.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.75	Excellent
Q5	83.33	16.67	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.83	Excellent
Q6	83.33	16.67	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.83	Excellent
Q7	75.00	25.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.75	Excellent
Q8	75.00	25.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.75	Excellent

Average Rating	Grade
≥4	Excellent
4 <avg.rating≥3< td=""><td>Very good</td></avg.rating≥3<>	Very good
3 <avg.rating≥2< td=""><td>Good</td></avg.rating≥2<>	Good



Feedback Analysis of Alumni on R18UG Curriculum for the AY 2019-20

Department of Mechnical Engineering

K.S.R.M. College of Engineering

K.S.R.M. College of Engineering KADAPA - 516 003.

IOAC

PRINCIPAL

Co-ordinator
Internal Quality Assurance Cell (IQAC)
I College of Engineering
Internal College of Engineering

#### DEPARTMENT OF MECHANICAL ENGINEERING

## Feedback Analysis of Employer on Curriculum for the AY 2019–20

#### Feedback is collected from Employer on the following parameters


- Q1. Basics of Computer Science
- Q2. Fundamentals of Mathematics and Science of the Students
- Q3. Algorithm Design Techniques
- Q4. Knowledge on Recent Tools and their Usage
- Q5. Practical Skills
- Q6. Scope for New Ideas / innovations
- Q7. Suitable for new technologies
- Q8. Serving the Industry needs
- Q9. Overall Rating

The categorization of rating is as follows: Excellent, Very good, Good, Satisfactory, and Poor

Table 1: Employer Feedback Analysis Report On R15UG Curriculum

	PERCENTAGE WISE RESPONSE						1
Q.No	Excellent(5)	Very	Good(3)	Satisfactory(2)	Poor(1)	Avg.	Grade
		Good(4)				Rating	
Q1	66.67	33.33	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.67	Excellent
Q2	66.67	33.33	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.67	Excellent
Q3	66.67	33.33	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.67	Excellent
Q4	83.33	16.67	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.83	Excellent
Q5	66.67	33.33	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.67	Excellent
Q6	66.67	33.33	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.67	Excellent
Q7	66.67	33.33	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.67	Excellent
Q8	100.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	5.00	Excellent
Q9	66.67	33.33	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.67	Excellent

Average Rating	Grade
≥4	Excellent
4 <avg.rating≥3< td=""><td>Very good</td></avg.rating≥3<>	Very good
3 <avg.rating≥2< td=""><td>Good</td></avg.rating≥2<>	Good



Feedback Analysis of Employer on R15UG Curriculum for AY 2019-20

Professor & Head

Department of Mechnical Engineering
K.S.R.M. College of Engineering
KADAPA - 516 003.

CQ

**IOAC** 


Co-ordinator
Internal Quality Assurance Cell (IQAC)
KSRM College of Engineering
Kadapa - 516 003

V. S. S. MW Y PRINCIPAL

Table 2: Employer Feedback Analysis Report On R18UG Curriculum

	PERCENTAGE WISE RESPONSE						
Q.No	Excellent(5)	Very	Good(3)	Satisfactory(2)	Poor(1)	Avg.	Grade
		Good(4)				Rating	
Q1	66.67	33.33	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.67	Excellent
Q2	83.33	16.67	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.83	Excellent
Q3	66.67	33.33	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.67	Excellent
Q4	83.33	16.67	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.83	Excellent
Q5	66.67	33.33	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.67	Excellent
Q6	83.33	16.67	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.83	Excellent
Q7	66.67	33.33	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.67	Excellent
Q8	50.00	50.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.50	Excellent
Q9	66.67	33.33	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.67	Excellent

Average Rating	Grade		
≥4	Excellent		
4 <avg.rating≥3< td=""><td>Very good</td></avg.rating≥3<>	Very good		
3 <avg.rating≥2< td=""><td>Good</td></avg.rating≥2<>	Good		



Feedback Analysis of Employer on R18UG Curriculum for AY 2019-20

Professor & Head

Department of Mechnical Engineering

S.R.M. College of Engineering

KADAPA - 516 003.

IQAC

Co-ordinator
Internal Quality Assurance Cell (IQAC)
KSRM College of Engineering
Kadapa - 516 003

PRINCIPAL

#### DEPARTMENT OF MECHANICAL ENGINEERING

## Feedback Analysis of Faculty on Curriculum for the AY 2019-20

#### Feedback is collected from Faculty on the following parameters


- Q1. Depth of the course content
- Q2. Extent of Coverage of Course
- Q3. Applicability or relevance to real life situations
- Q4. Learning values (in terms of knowledge, concepts, manual skills, analytical abilities, and broadening perspectives)
- Q5. Clarity and relevance of textual reading material
- Q6. Relevance of additional source material (library)
- Q7. Extent of effort required by students
- Q8. Overall Rating

The categorization of rating is as follows: Excellent, Very good, Good, Satisfactory, and Poor

Table 1: Faculty Feedback Analysis Report On R15UG Curriculum

	PERCENTAGE WISE RESPONSE						
Q.No	Excellent(5)	Very	Good(3)	Satisfactory(2)	Poor(1)	Avg.	Grade
		Good(4)				Rating	
Q1	76.19	23.81	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.76	Excellent
Q2	85.71	14.29	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.86	Excellent
Q3	76.19	23.81	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.76	Excellent
Q4	80.95	19.05	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.81	Excellent
Q5	66.67	33.33	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.67	Excellent
Q6	80.95	19.05	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.81	Excellent
Q7	76.19	23.81	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.76	Excellent
Q8	71.43	28.57	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.71	Excellent

Average Rating	Grade
≥4	Excellent
4 <avg.rating≥3< td=""><td>Very good</td></avg.rating≥3<>	Very good
3 <avg.rating≥2< td=""><td>Good</td></avg.rating≥2<>	Good



Feedback Analysis of Faculty on R15UG Curriculum for the AY 2019-20

Professor & Head

Department of Mechnical Engineering K.S.R.M. College of Engineering KADAPA - 516 003.

IOAC.


Co-ordinator
Internal Quality Assurance Cell (IQAC)
KSRM College of Engineering
Kadapa - 516 003

PRINCIPAL

Table 2: Faculty Feedback Analysis Report On R18UG Curriculum

	PERCENTAGE WISE RESPONSE						
Q.No	Excellent(5)	Very	Good(3)	Satisfactory(2)	Poor(1)	Avg.	Grade
		Good(4)				Rating	
Q1	85.71	14.29	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.86	Excellent
Q2	90.48	9.52	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.90	Excellent
Q3	76.19	23.81	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.76	Excellent
Q4	76.19	23.81	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.76	Excellent
Q5	71.43	28.57	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.71	Excellent
Q6	80.95	19.05	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.81	Excellent
Q7	90.48	9.52	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.90	Excellent
Q8	80.95	19.05	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.81	Excellent

Average Rating	Grade		
≥4	Excellent		
4 <avg.rating≥3< td=""><td>Very good</td></avg.rating≥3<>	Very good		
3 <avg.rating≥2< td=""><td>Good</td></avg.rating≥2<>	Good		



Feedback Analysis of Faculty on R18UG Curriculum for the AY 2019-20

Department of Mechnical Engineering K.S.R.M. College of Engineering KADAPA - 516 003.

SUE TO

**IQAC** 

Co-ordinator Internal Quality Assurance Cell (IQAC) KSRM College of Engineering Kadapa - 516 003

V. S. S. Mm/4 **PRINCIPAL** 



## K.S.R.M. COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING

#### (UGC-AUTONOMOUS)

Kadapa, Andhra Pradesh, India-516 003

Approved by AICTE, New Delhi & Affiliated to JNTUA, Ananthapuramu

An ISO 14001:2004 & 9001: 2015 Certified Institution

#### **DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRONICS AND COMMUNICATION ENGINEERING**

#### Stakeholders Feedback Analysis on Curriculum for the AY 2019-20

The Department Of Electronics And Communication Engineering collects feedback from the stakeholders to monitor and evaluate the quality of curriculum and curriculum related issues. The feedback forms were collected from students, parents, Alumni, Employer and faculty on several aspects of curriculum and its learning related issues in terms of quality, competence, skills and professionalism. The report of feedback analysis is submitted to IQAC for necessary action and thereafter the analysis is shared with BoS Committee to take necessary measures and actions as to comply with the issues raised by the Stakeholders in New Curriculum.

# DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRONICS AND COMMUNICATION ENGINEERING DETAILED FEEDBACK ANALYSIS REPORT

### Feedback Analysis of Students on Curriculum for the AY 2019-20

#### Feedback is collected from students on the following parameters


- Q1. Depth of the course content
- Q2. Extent of Coverage of Course
- Q3. Applicability or relevance to real life situations
- Q4. Learning values (in terms of knowledge, concepts, manual skills, analytical abilities, and broadening perspectives)
- Q5. Clarity and relevance of textual reading material
- Q6. Relevance of additional source material (library)
- Q7. Extent of effort required by students
- Q8. Overall Rating

The categorization of rating is as follows: Excellent, Very good, Good, Satisfactory, and Poor

Table 1: Student Feedback Analysis Report On R15UG Curriculum

	PERCENTAGE WISE RESPONSE						
Q.No	Excellent(5)	Very	Good(3)	Satisfactory(2)	Poor(1)	Avg.	Grade
		Good(4)				Rating	
Q1	67.16	22.39	10.45	0.00	0.00	4.57	Excellent
Q2	62.69	23.88	8.96	4.48	0.00	4.45	Excellent
Q3	61.19	22.39	16.42	0.00	0.00	4.45	Excellent
Q4	62.69	26.87	10.45	0.00	0.00	4.52	Excellent
Q5	58.21	28.36	7.46	5.97	0.00	4.39	Excellent
Q6	80.60	11.94	5.97	0.00	0.00	4.69	Excellent
Q7	76.12	13.43	10.45	0.00	0.00	4.66	Excellent
Q8	73.13	16.42	7.46	2.99	0.00	4.60	Excellent

Average Rating	Grade		
≥4	Excellent		
4 <avg.rating≥3< td=""><td>Very good</td></avg.rating≥3<>	Very good		
3 <avg.rating≥2< td=""><td>Good</td></avg.rating≥2<>	Good		



Feedback Analysis of Students on R15UG Curriculum for the AY 2019-20

Department of E.C.E.

ASAA Cottege of Engineering MACAPA - 546 063

Co-ordinator

Internal Quality Assurance Cell (10 AC) KSRM College of Engineering


Kadapa - 516 003

V.S.S.Mmly PRINCIPAL

Table 2: Student Feedback Analysis Report On R18UG Curriculum

		DEDCEN	TAGEWIG	PECRONCE			
				E RESPONSE			
Q.No	Excellent(5)	Very	Good(3)	Satisfactory(2)	Poor(1)	Avg.	Grade
		Good(4)			` '	Rating	
Q1	59.82	29.46	10.71	0.00	0.00	4.49	
Q2	73.21	16.07	8.04	2.68	0.00	4.60	Excellent
Q3	65.18	16.96	13.39	4.46	0.00	4.43	Excellent
Q4	60.71	18.75	16.07	4.46	0.00	4.36	Excellent
Q5	69.64	21.43	6.25	2.68	0.00	4.58	Excellent
Q6	76.79	15.18	6.25	1.79	0.00	4.67	Excellent
Q7	70.54	15.18	12.50	1.79	0.00	4.54	Excellent
Q8	66.96	16.07	14.29	2.68	0.00	4.47	Excellent

Average Rating	Grade		
≥4	Excellent		
4 <avg.rating≥3< td=""><td colspan="3">Very good</td></avg.rating≥3<>	Very good		
3 <avg.rating≥2< td=""><td>Good</td></avg.rating≥2<>	Good		



Feedback Analysis of Students on R18UG Curriculum for the AY 2019-20

Professor & H.O.D.

S.R.M. College of Engineerin

Ario

**IQAC** 

Co-ordinator

Formal Quality Assurance Cell (16)

SR A College of Engineering

Kadapa - 516 003

V. S. S. Mm/9

**PRINCIPAL** 

#### EPARTMENT OF ELECTRONICS AND COMMUNICATION ENGINEERING

#### Feedback Analysis of Parents on Curriculum for the AY 2019-20

#### Feedback is collected from Parents on the following parameters


- Q1. Content and coverage of curriculum studied
- Q2. Adequacy of the core courses
- Q3. Ordering of the courses in the curriculum
- Q4. Adequacy of the elective courses
- Q5. Practical content in the curriculum
- Q6. Ability to cope with the current job challenges
- Q7. Ability to adopt new technologies
- Q8. Ability to handle projects/assignments as individual
- Q9. Ability to work in a team

The categorization of rating is as follows: Excellent, Very good, Good, Satisfactory, and Poor

Table 1: Parents Feedback Analysis Report On R15UG Curriculum

	PERCENTAGE WISE RESPONSE						
Q.No	Excellent(5)	Very	Good(3)	Satisfactory(2)	Poor(1)	Avg.	Grade
		Good(4)				Rating	
Q1	61.54	30.77	7.69	0.00	0.00	4.54	Excellent
Q2	69.23	15.38	15.38	0.00	0.00	4.54	Excellent
Q3	69.23	23.08	7.69	0.00	0.00	4.62	Excellent
Q4	84.62	7.69	7.69	0.00	0.00	4.77	Excellent
Q5	76.92	15.38	7.69	0.00	0.00	4.69	Excellent
Q6	76.92	15.38	7.69	0.00	0.00	4.69	Excellent
Q7	69.23	15.38	15.38	0.00	0.00	4.54	Excellent
Q8	61.54	30.77	7.69	0.00	0.00	4.54	Excellent
Q9	76.92	15.38	7.69	0.00	0.00	4.69	Excellent

Average Rating	Grade
≥4	Excellent
4 <avg.rating≥3< td=""><td>Very good</td></avg.rating≥3<>	Very good
3 <avg.rating≥2< td=""><td>Good</td></avg.rating≥2<>	Good



Feedback Analysis of Parents on R15UG Curriculum for the AY 2019-20

M.S.R.M. Cottage of Empireoring MADAPA - 818 083.

**IQAC** 

Co-ordinator Internal Quality Assurance Cell (1QAC)


KSRM College of Engineering Kadapa - 516 003

V.S.S. Mm/g PRINCIPAL

Table 2: Parents Feedback Analysis Report On R18UG Curriculum

	PERCENTAGE WISE RESPONSE						
Q.No	Excellent(5)	Very	Good(3)	Satisfactory(2)	Poor(1)	Avg.	Grade
		Good(4)				Rating	
Q1	69.23	30.77	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.69	Excellent
Q2	69.23	23.08	7.69	0.00	0.00	4.62	Excellent
Q3	76.92	23.08	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.77	Excellent
Q4	84.62	15.38	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.85	Excellent
Q5	69.23	15.38	15.38	0.00	0.00	4.54	Excellent
Q6	69.23	15.38	15.38	0.00	0.00	4.54	Excellent
Q7	76.92	15.38	7.69	0.00	0.00	4.69	Excellent
Q8	61.54	30.77	7.69	0.00	0.00	4.54	Excellent
Q9	69.23	15.38	15.38	0.00	0.00	4.54	Excellent

Average Rating	Grade
≥4	Excellent
4 <avg.rating≥3< td=""><td>Very good</td></avg.rating≥3<>	Very good
3 <avg.rating≥2< td=""><td>Good</td></avg.rating≥2<>	Good



Feedback Analysis of Parents on R18UG Curriculum for the AY 2019-20

Professor & H.O.D.

Department of E.C.E.

K.S.R.M. College of Engineering

KADAPA - 516 083.

Co-ordinator

Internal Quality Assurance Cell (IQAC)
KSRM College of Engineering
Kadapa - 516 003

V. S. S. Mm 19 PRINCIPAL

PRINCIPAL

#### DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRONICS AND COMMUNICATION ENGINEERING

## Feedback Analysis of Alumni on Curriculum for the AY 2019-20

#### Feedback is collected from Alumni on the following parameters


- Q1. Depth of the course content
- Q2. Extent of Coverage of Course
- Q3. Applicability or relevance to real life situations
- Q4. Learning values (in terms of knowledge, concepts, manual skills, analytical abilities, and broadening perspectives)
- Q5. Whether the knowledge is sufficient to face the competitive world
- Q6. Whether the syllabus gives practical exposers
- Q7. Whether it serves current industry needs
- Q8. Overall Rating

The categorization of rating is as follows: Excellent, Very good, Good, Satisfactory, and Poor

Table 1: Alumni Feedback Analysis Report On R15UG Curriculum

		PERCEN'	TAGE WISI	E RESPONSE			
Q.No	Excellent(5)	Very	Good(3)	Satisfactory(2)	Poor(1)	Avg.	Grade
		Good(4)				Rating	
Q1	83.33	16.67	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.83	Excellent
Q2	75.00	16.67	8.33	0.00	0.00	4.67	Excellent
Q3	75.00	25.00	8.33	0.00	0.00	5.00	Excellent
Q4	66.67	16.67	16.67	0.00	0.00	4.50	Excellent
Q5	91.67	8.33	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.92	Excellent
Q6	83.33	16.67	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.83	Excellent
Q7	75.00	16.67	8.33	0.00	0.00	4.67	Excellent
Q8	83.33	16.67	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.83	Excellent

Average Rating	Grade
≥4	Excellent
4 <avg.rating≥3< td=""><td>Very good</td></avg.rating≥3<>	Very good
3 <avg.rating≥2< td=""><td>Good</td></avg.rating≥2<>	Good



Feedback Analysis of Alumni on R15UG Curriculum for the AY 2019-20

Professor & H.O.D.

papartment of E.C.E.

KADAPA - 516 063.

IOAC

Co-ordinator

Internal Quality Assurance Cell (1QAC)
KSRM College of Engineering

Kadapa - 516 003


V. S. S. Muly

**PRINCIPAL** 

Table 2: Alumni Feedback Analysis Report On R18UG Curriculum

		PERCEN	TAGE WISE	E RESPONSE			
Q.No	Excellent(5)	Very	Good(3)	Satisfactory(2)	Poor(1)	Avg.	Grade
		Good(4)				Rating	
Q1	75.00	25.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.75	Excellent
Q2	75.00	16.67	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.42	Excellent
Q3	75.00	25.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.75	Excellent
Q4	91.67	8.33	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.92	Excellent
Q5	75.00	16.67	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.42	Excellent
Q6	83.33	16.67	8.33	0.00	0.00	5.08	Excellent
Q7	75.00	16.67	16.67	0.00	0.00	4.92	Excellent
Q8	83.33	16.67	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.83	Excellent

Average Rating	Grade
≥4	Excellent
4 <avg.rating≥3< td=""><td>Very good</td></avg.rating≥3<>	Very good
3 <avg.rating≥2< td=""><td>Good</td></avg.rating≥2<>	Good



Feedback Analysis of Alumni on R18UG Curriculum for the AY 2019-20

Professor & M.O.D.

Department of E.C.E.

K.S.R.M. College of Engineering KADAPA - 516 003

IOAC

Co-ordinater

Kadapa - 516 003

V. S. S. Muly

PRINCIPAL

## DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRONICS AND COMMUNICATION ENGINEERING

## Feedback Analysis of Employer on Curriculum for the AY 2019-20

#### Feedback is collected from Employer on the following parameters


- Q1. Basics of Computer Science
- Q2. Fundamentals of Mathematics and Science of the Students
- Q3. Algorithm Design Techniques
- Q4. Knowledge on Recent Tools and their Usage
- Q5. Practical Skills
- Q6. Scope for New Ideas / innovations
- Q7. Suitable for new technologies
- Q8. Serving the Industry needs
- Q9. Overall Rating

The categorization of rating is as follows: Excellent, Very good, Good, Satisfactory, and Poor

Table 1: Employer Feedback Analysis Report On R15UG Curriculum

		PERCEN	TAGE WISI	E RESPONSE			
Q.No	Excellent(5)	Very	Good(3)	Satisfactory(2)	Poor(1)	Avg.	Grade
		Good(4)				Rating	
Q1	66.67	33.33	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.67	Excellent
Q2	50.00	33.33	16.67	0.00	0.00	4.33	Excellent
Q3	66.67	33.33	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.67	Excellent
Q4	50.00	33.33	16.67	0.00	0.00	4.33	Excellent
Q5	66.67	33.33	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.67	Excellent
Q6	66.67	33.33	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.67	Excellent
Q7	50.00	33.33	16.67	0.00	0.00	4.33	Excellent
Q8	66.67	33.33	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.67	Excellent
Q9	66.67	16.67	16.67	0.00	0.00	4.50	Excellent

Average Rating	Grade
≥4	Excellent
4 <avg.rating≥3< td=""><td>Very good</td></avg.rating≥3<>	Very good
3 <avg.rating≥2< td=""><td>Good</td></avg.rating≥2<>	Good



Feedback Analysis of Employer on R15UG Curriculum for the AY 2019–20

M.S.R.M. College of Emgineering KADAPA - 516 003.

**IQAC** 

Co-or mater


Internal Quality Assurance Cell (IQAC) KSRM College of Engineering Kadapa - 516 003

V. S. S. MW/9 PRINCIPAL

Table 2: Employer Feedback Analysis Report On R18UG Curriculum

		PERCEN	TAGE WISH	E RESPONSE			
Q.No	Excellent(5)	Very	Good(3)	Satisfactory(2)	Poor(1)	Avg.	Grade
		Good(4)				Rating	
Q1	83.33	16.67	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.83	Excellent
Q2	50.00	33.33	0.00	0.00	0.00	3.83	Very Good
Q3	66.67	33.33	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.67	Excellent
Q4	50.00	50.00	16.67	0.00	0.00	5.00	Excellent
Q5	66.67	33.33	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.67	Excellent
Q6	66.67	33.33	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.67	Excellent
Q7	83.33	16.67	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.83	Excellent
Q8	66.67	33.33	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.67	Excellent
Q9	66.67	33.33	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.67	Excellent

Average Rating	Grade
≥4	Excellent
4 <avg.rating≥3< td=""><td>Very good</td></avg.rating≥3<>	Very good
3 <avg.rating≥2< td=""><td>Good</td></avg.rating≥2<>	Good



Feedback Analysis of Employer on R18UG Curriculum for the AY 2019–20

Department of E.C.E.

K.S.R.M. College of Employmentary KADAPA - 516 063.

IQAC

Co-oramator

internal Quality Assurance Cell (fQAC)
KSRM College of Engineering
Kadapa - 516 003

V.S.S. Muly

**PRINCIPAL** 

#### DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRONICS AND COMMUNICATION ENGINEERING

### Feedback Analysis of Faculty on Curriculum for the AY 2019-20

#### Feedback is collected from Faculty on the following parameters


- Q1. Depth of the course content
- Q2. Extent of Coverage of Course
- Q3. Applicability or relevance to real life situations
- Q4. Learning values (in terms of knowledge, concepts, manual skills, analytical abilities, and broadening perspectives)
- Q5. Clarity and relevance of textual reading material
- Q6. Relevance of additional source material (library)
- Q7. Extent of effort required by students
- Q8. Overall Rating

The categorization of rating is as follows: Excellent, Very good, Good, Satisfactory, and Poor

Table 1: Faculty Feedback Analysis Report On R15UG Curriculum

		PERCEN	TAGE WISI	E RESPONSE			
Q.No	Excellent(5)	Very	Good(3)	Satisfactory(2)	Poor(1)	Avg.	Grade
		Good(4)				Rating	
Q1	83.78	13.51	2.70	0.00	0.00	4.81	Excellent
Q2	83.78	13.51	2.70	0.00	0.00	4.81	Excellent
Q3	86.49	10.81	2.70	0.00	0.00	4.84	Excellent
Q4	91.89	8.11	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.92	Excellent
Q5	89.19	10.81	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.89	Excellent
Q6	86.49	8.11	5.41	0.00	0.00	4.81	Excellent
Q7	86.49	8.11	5.41	0.00	0.00	4.81	Excellent
Q8	91.89	5.41	2.70	0.00	0.00	4.89	Excellent

Average Rating	Grade
≥4	Excellent
4 <avg.rating≥3< td=""><td>Very good</td></avg.rating≥3<>	Very good
3 <avg.rating≥2< td=""><td>Good</td></avg.rating≥2<>	Good



Feedback Analysis of Faculty on R15UG Curriculum for the AY 2019-20

Professor & M.O.D.

Department of E.C.E.

K.S.R.M. College of Engineering KADAPA - 518 083.

IQAC Co-ordinator

Internal Quality Assurance Cell (IQAC)

KSRM College of Engineering


Kadapa - 516 003

PRINCIPAL

Table 2: Faculty Feedback Analysis Report On R18UG Curriculum

		PERCEN'	TAGE WISI	E RESPONSE			
Q.No	Excellent(5)	Very	Good(3)	Satisfactory(2)	Poor(1)	Avg.	Grade
		Good(4)				Rating	
Q1	86.49	13.51	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.86	Excellent
Q2	86.49	13.51	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.86	Excellent
Q3	89.19	10.81	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.89	Excellent
Q4	91.89	8.11	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.92	Excellent
Q5	89.19	10.81	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.89	Excellent
Q6	83.78	16.22	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.84	Excellent
Q7	91.89	8.11	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.92	Excellent
Q8	94.59	5.41	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.95	Excellent

Average Rating	Grade
≥4	Excellent
4 <avg.rating≥3< td=""><td>Very good</td></avg.rating≥3<>	Very good
3 <avg.rating≥2< td=""><td>Good</td></avg.rating≥2<>	Good



Feedback Analysis of Faculty on R18UG Curriculum for the AY 2019-20

Professor & H.O.U. Department of E.C.E.

K.S.B.M. College of Engineering KADAFA - 516 063

IQAC Co-ordinator

Ask M College of Engineering
Kadapa - 516 003

PRINCIPAL PRINCIPAL



# K.S.R.M. COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING

#### (UGC-AUTONOMOUS)

Kadapa, Andhra Pradesh, India-516 003

Approved by AICTE, New Delhi & Affiliated to JNTUA, Ananthapuramu

An ISO 14001:2004 & 9001: 2015 Certified Institution

# **DEPARTMENT OF COMPUTER SCIENCE AND ENGINEERING**

# Stakeholders Feedback Analysis on Curriculum for the AY 2019-20

The Department of Computer Science and Engineering collects feedback from the stakeholders to monitor and evaluate the quality of curriculum and curriculum related issues. The feedback forms were collected from students, parents, Alumni, Employer and faculty on several aspects of curriculum and its learning related issues in terms of quality, competence, skills and professionalism. The report of feedback analysis is submitted to IQAC for necessary action and thereafter the analysis is shared with BoS Committee to take necessary measures and actions as to comply with the issues raised by the Stakeholders in New Curriculum.

# DEPARTMENT OF COMPUTER SCIENCE AND ENGINEERING DETAILED FEEDBACK ANALYSIS REPORT

# Feedback Analysis of Students on Curriculum for AY 2019-20

## Feedback is collected from students on the following parameters


- Q1. Depth of the course content
- Q2. Extent of Coverage of Course
- Q3. Applicability or relevance to real life situations
- Q4. Learning values (in terms of knowledge, concepts, manual skills, analytical abilities, and broadening perspectives)
- Q5. Clarity and relevance of textual reading material
- Q6. Relevance of additional source material (library)
- Q7. Extent of effort required by students
- Q8. Overall Rating

The categorization of rating is as follows: Excellent, Very good, Good, Satisfactory, and Poor

Table 1: Student Feedback Analysis Report On R15UG Curriculum

	PERCENTAGE WISE RESPONSE						
Q.No	Excellent(5)	Very	Good(3)	Satisfactory(2)	Poor(1)	Avg.	Grade
		Good(4)				Rating	
Q1	69.01	22.54	7.04	1.41	0.00	4.59	Excellent
Q2	71.83	15.49	12.68	0.00	0.00	4.59	Excellent
Q3	64.79	18.31	14.08	2.82	0.00	4.45	Excellent
Q4	69.01	23.94	7.04	0.00	0.00	4.62	Excellent
Q5	71.83	18.31	7.04	2.82	0.00	4.59	Excellent
Q6	77.46	9.86	8.45	4.23	0.00	4.61	Excellent
Q7	81.69	12.68	2.82	2.82	0.00	4.73	Excellent
Q8	83.10	12.68	1.41	2.82	0.00	4.76	Excellent

Average Rating	Grade		
≥4	Excellent		
4 <avg.rating≥3< td=""><td colspan="3">Very good</td></avg.rating≥3<>	Very good		
3 <avg.rating≥2< td=""><td>Good</td></avg.rating≥2<>	Good		



Feedback Analysis of Students on R15UG Curriculum for AY 2019-20

Dr. M. Sreenivasulu,

M. E., Ph. D. Professer & HOD CSE

K.S.R.M. College of Engineering KADAPA - 516 003

Co-ordinator

Internal Quality Assurance Cell (IQAC) KSRM College of Engineering

Kadapa - 516 003

V. S. S. Mmly **PRINCIPAL** 


PRINCIPAL K.S.R.M. COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING

KADAPA-516005 (A.P)

Table 2: Student Feedback Analysis Report On R18UG Curriculum

	PERCENTAGE WISE RESPONSE						
Q.No	Excellent(5)	Very	Good(3)	Satisfactory(2)	Poor(1)	Avg.	Grade
		Good(4)				Rating	
Q1	66.28	25.58	5.81	2.33	0.00	4.56	Excellent
Q2	59.30	22.09	13.95	4.65	0.00	4.36	Excellent
Q3	56.98	20.93	16.28	5.81	0.00	4.29	Excellent
Q4	67.44	18.60	13.95	0.00	0.00	4.53	Excellent
Q5	56.98	20.93	18.60	3.49	0.00	4.31	Excellent
Q6	62.79	22.09	11.63	3.49	0.00	4.44	Excellent
Q7	66.28	16.28	15.12	2.33	0.00	4.47	Excellent
Q8	68.60	19.77	9.30	2.33	0.00	4.55	Excellent

Average Rating	Grade
≥4	Excellent
4 <avg.rating≥3< td=""><td>Very good</td></avg.rating≥3<>	Very good
3 <avg.rating≥2< td=""><td>Good</td></avg.rating≥2<>	Good



Feedback Analysis of Students on R18UG Curriculum for AY 2019-20

Dr. M. Sreenivasulu,

M. E., Ph. D.

Professer & HOD CSE K.S.R.M. College of Engineering

KADAPA - 516 003

**IQAC** 

Co-ordinator

Internal Quality Assurance Cell (IQAC) KSRM College of Engineering Kadapa - 516 003

V.S.S. Muly PRINCIPAL

## DEPARTMENT OF COMPUTER SCIENCE AND ENGINEERING

# Feedback Analysis of Parents on Curriculum for AY 2019-20

## Feedback is collected from Parents on the following parameters


- Q1. Content and coverage of curriculum studied
- Q2. Adequacy of the core courses
- Q3. Ordering of the courses in the curriculum
- Q4. Adequacy of the elective courses
- Q5. Practical content in the curriculum
- Q6. Ability to cope with the current job challenges
- Q7. Ability to adopt new technologies
- Q8. Ability to handle projects/assignments as individual
- Q9. Ability to work in a team

The categorization of rating is as follows: Excellent, Very good, Good, Satisfactory, and Poor

Table 1: Parents Feedback Analysis Report On R15UG Curriculum

	PERCENTAGE WISE RESPONSE						
Q.No	Excellent(5)	Very	Good(3)	Satisfactory(2)	Poor(1)	Avg.	Grade
		Good(4)				Rating	
Q1	66.67	25.00	8.33	0.00	0.00	4.58	Excellent
Q2	75.00	16.67	8.33	0.00	0.00	4.67	Excellent
Q3	75.00	16.67	8.33	0.00	0.00	4.67	Excellent
Q4	83.33	8.33	8.33	0.00	0.00	4.75	Excellent
Q5	75.00	16.67	8.33	0.00	0.00	4.67	Excellent
Q6	75.00	16.67	8.33	0.00	0.00	4.67	Excellent
Q7	83.33	8.33	8.33	0.00	0.00	4.75	Excellent
Q8	75.00	16.67	8.33	0.00	0.00	4.67	Excellent
Q9	83.33	16.67	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.83	Excellent

Average Rating	Grade		
≥4	Excellent		
4 <avg.rating≥3< td=""><td>Very good</td></avg.rating≥3<>	Very good		
3 <avg.rating≥2< td=""><td>Good</td></avg.rating≥2<>	Good		



Feedback Analysis of Parents on R15UG Curriculum for AY 2019-20

Dr. M. Sreenivasulu,

Professer & HOD CSE K.S.R.M. College of Engineering

KADAPA - 516 003

Co-ordinator

Internal Quality Assurance Cell (IQAC) KSRM College of Engineering

Kadapa - 516 003


V.S.S. muly

**PRINCIPAL** 

Table 2: Parents Feedback Analysis Report On R18UG Curriculum

	PERCENTAGE WISE RESPONSE						
Q.No	Excellent(5)	Very	Good(3)	Satisfactory(2)	Poor(1)	Avg.	Grade
		Good(4)		• ` ` ′		Rating	310.00
Q1	75.00	25.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.75	Excellent
Q2	75.00	25.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.75	Excellent
Q3	83.33	16.67	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.83	Excellent
Q4	66.67	33.33	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.67	Excellent
Q5	83.33	16.67	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.83	Excellent
Q6	75.00	25.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.75	Excellent
Q7	83.33	16.67	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.83	Excellent
Q8	75.00	25.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.75	Excellent
Q9	83.33	16.67	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.83	Excellent

Average Rating	Grade
≥4	Excellent
4 <avg.rating≥3< td=""><td>Very good</td></avg.rating≥3<>	Very good
3 <avg.rating≥2< td=""><td>Good</td></avg.rating≥2<>	Good



Feedback Analysis of Parents on R18UG Curriculum for AY 2019-20

Dr. M. Sreenivasulu,

M. E., Ph. D,

Professer & HOD CSE

K.S.R.M. College of Engineering

KADAPA - 516 003

Co-ordinator

Internal Quality Assurance Cell (IQAC) KSRM College of Engineering Kadapa - 516 003

V. S. S. Muly **PRINCIPAL** 

## DEPARTMENT OF COMPUTER SCIENCE AND ENGINEERING

# Feedback Analysis of Alumni on Curriculum for AY 2019-20

#### Feedback is collected from Alumni on the following parameters


- Q1. Depth of the course content
- Q2. Extent of Coverage of Course
- Q3. Applicability or relevance to real life situations
- Q4. Learning values (in terms of knowledge, concepts, manual skills, analytical abilities, and broadening perspectives)
- Q5. Whether the knowledge is sufficient to face the competitive world
- Q6. Whether the syllabus gives practical exposers
- Q7. Whether it serves current industry needs
- Q8. Overall Rating

The categorization of rating is as follows: Excellent, Very good, Good, Satisfactory, and Poor

Table 1: Alumni Feedback Analysis Report On R15UG Curriculum

	PERCENTAGE WISE RESPONSE						
Q.No	Excellent(5)	Very	Good(3)	Satisfactory(2)	Poor(1)	Avg.	Grade
		Good(4)				Rating	
Q1	73.68	21.05	5.26	0.00	0.00	4.68	Excellent
Q2	78.95	10.53	10.53	0.00	0.00	4.68	Excellent
Q3	84.21	10.53	5.26	0.00	0.00	4.79	Excellent
Q4	78.95	10.53	5.26	5.26	0.00	4.63	Excellent
Q5	84.21	10.53	5.26	0.00	0.00	4.79	Excellent
Q6	73.68	15.79	10.53	0.00	0.00	4.63	Excellent
Q7	84.21	10.53	5.26	0.00	0.00	4.79	Excellent
Q8	78.95	15.79	5.26	0.00	0.00	4.74	Excellent

Average Rating	Grade		
≥4	Excellent		
4 <avg.rating≥3< td=""><td colspan="3">Very good</td></avg.rating≥3<>	Very good		
3 <avg.rating≥2< td=""><td>Good</td></avg.rating≥2<>	Good		



# Feedback Analysis of Alumni on R15UG Curriculum for AY 2019-20

Dr. M. Sreenivasulu,

M. E., Ph. D.

Professer & HOD CSE

K.S R.M. College of Engineering

KADAPA - 516 003


Co-ordinator Internal Quality Assurance Cell (IQAC) KSRM College of Engineering Kadapa - 516 003

V. S. S. Mm/g PRINCIPAL

Table 2: Alumni Feedback Analysis Report On R18UG Curriculum

	PERCENTAGE WISE RESPONSE						
Q.No	Excellent(5)	Very	Good(3)	Satisfactory(2)	Poor(1)	Avg.	Grade
		Good(4)				Rating	
Q1	78.95	21.05	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.79	Excellent
Q2	78.95	15.79	5.26	0.00	0.00	4.74	Excellent
Q3	73.68	15.79	10.53	0.00	0.00	4.63	Excellent
Q4	. 78.95	10.53	10.53	0.00	0.00	4.68	Excellent
Q5	84.21	10.53	5.26	0.00	0.00	4.79	Excellent
Q6	78.95	15.79	5.26	0.00	0.00	4.74	Excellent
Q7	84.21	10.53	5.26	0.00	0.00	4.79	Excellent
Q8	73.68	15.79	10.53	0.00	0.00	4.63	Excellent

Average Rating	Grade
≥4	Excellent
4 <avg.rating≥3< td=""><td>Very good</td></avg.rating≥3<>	Very good
3 <avg.rating≥2< td=""><td>Good</td></avg.rating≥2<>	Good



Feedback Analysis of Alumni on R18UG Curriculum for AY 2019-20

Dr. M. Sreenivasulu,

M. E. . h D.

Professer & HOD CSE K.S.R.M. College of Engineering

KADAPA - 516 003

V. S. S. Muly **PRINCIPAL** 

**PRINCIPAL** K.S.R.M. COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING KADAPA-516005 (A.P)

Co-ordinator

Internal Quality Assurance Cell (TQ(1Q) 1C) KSRM College of Engineeringing Kadapa - 516 003103

# DEPARTMENT OF COMPUTER SCIENCE AND ENGINEERING

# Feedback Analysis of Employer on Curriculum for AY 2019-20

# Feedback is collected from Employer on the following parameters


- Q1. Basics of Computer Science
- Q2. Fundamentals of Mathematics and Science of the Students
- Q3. Algorithm Design Techniques
- Q4. Knowledge on Recent Tools and their Usage
- Q5. Practical Skills
- Q6. Scope for New Ideas / innovations
- Q7. Suitable for new technologies
- Q8. Serving the Industry needs
- Q9. Overall Rating

The categorization of rating is as follows: Excellent, Very good, Good, Satisfactory, and Poor

Table 1: Employer Feedback Analysis Report On R15UG Curriculum

		PERCEN	TAGE WISI	E RESPONSE			I
Q.No	Excellent(5)	Very	Good(3)	Satisfactory(2)	Poor(1)	Avg.	Grade
		Good(4)				Rating	Grade
Q1	75.00	25.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.75	Excellent
Q2	75.00	25.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.75	Excellent
Q3	100.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	5.00	Excellent
Q4	75.00	25.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.75	Excellent
Q5	75.00	25.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.75	Excellent
Q6	75.00	25.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.75	Excellent
Q7	75.00	25.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.75	Excellent
Q8	75.00	25.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.75	Excellent
Q9	100.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	5.00	Excellent

Average Rating	Grade
≥4	Excellent
4 <avg.rating≥3< td=""><td>Very good</td></avg.rating≥3<>	Very good
3 <avg.rating≥2< td=""><td>Good</td></avg.rating≥2<>	Good



Feedback Analysis of Employer on R15UG Curriculum for AY 2019-20

Dr. M. Sreenivasulu,

M. E., Ph. D.

Professer & HOD CSE

K.S.R.M. College of Engineering

KADAPA - 516 003

IOAC


Co-ordinator
Internal Quality Assurance Cell (1QAC)
KSRM College of Engineering
Kadana - 516 003

PRINCIPAL

Table 2: Employer Feedback Analysis Report On R18UG Curriculum

	PERCENTAGE WISE RESPONSE						
Q.No	Excellent(5)	Very	Good(3)	Satisfactory(2)	Poor(1)	Avg.	Grade
		Good(4)				Rating	Grade
Q1	100.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	5.00	Excellent
Q2	75.00	25.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.75	Excellent
Q3	100.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	5.00	Excellent
Q4	75.00	25.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.75	Excellent
Q5	100.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	5.00	Excellent
Q6	100.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	5.00	Excellent
Q7	75.00	25.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.75	Excellent
Q8	75.00	25.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.75	Excellent
Q9	75.00	25.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.75	Excellent

Average Rating	Grade
≥4	Excellent
4 <avg.rating≥3< td=""><td>Very good</td></avg.rating≥3<>	Very good
3 <avg.rating≥2< td=""><td>Good</td></avg.rating≥2<>	Good



Feedback Analysis of Employer on R18UG Curriculum for AY 2019-20

qui

Dr. M. Sreenivasulu,

M. E., Ph. D.

Professer & HOD CSE

K.S.R.M. College of Engineering

KADAPA - 516 003

IQAC

Co-ordinator
Internal Quality Assurance Cell (IQAC)
KSR M College of Engineering
Kadapa - 516 003

V. S. S. Mm/9
PRINCIPAL

# DEPARTMENT OF COMPUTER SCIENCE AND ENGINEERING

# Feedback Analysis of Faculty on Curriculum for AY 2019-20

# Feedback is collected from Faculty on the following parameters


- Q1. Depth of the course content
- Q2. Extent of Coverage of Course
- Q3. Applicability or relevance to real life situations
- Q4. Learning values (in terms of knowledge, concepts, manual skills, analytical abilities, and broadening perspectives)
- Q5. Clarity and relevance of textual reading material
- Q6. Relevance of additional source material (library)
- Q7. Extent of effort required by students
- Q8. Overall Rating

The categorization of rating is as follows: Excellent, Very good, Good, Satisfactory, and Poor

Table 1: Faculty Feedback Analysis Report On R15UG Curriculum

PERCENTAGE WISE RESP				E RESPONSE	NSE		
Q.No	Excellent(5)	Very Good(4)	Good(3)	Satisfactory(2)	Poor(1)	Avg. Rating	Grade
Q1	83.33	13.33	3.33	0.00	0.00	4.80	Excellent
Q2	80.00	16.67	3.33	0.00	0.00	4.77	Excellent
Q3	93.33	3.33	3.33	0.00	0.00	4.90	Excellent
Q4	86.67	10.00	3.33	0.00	0.00	4.83	Excellent
Q5	80.00	16.67	3.33	0.00	0.00	4.77	Excellent
Q6	93.33	6.67	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.93	Excellent
Q7	86.67	13.33	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.87	Excellent
Q8	93.33	6.67	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.93	Excellent

Average Rating	Grade		
≥4	Excellent		
4 <avg.rating≥3< td=""><td>Very good</td></avg.rating≥3<>	Very good		
3 <avg.rating≥2< td=""><td>Good</td></avg.rating≥2<>	Good		



Feedback Analysis of Faculty on R15UG Curriculum for AY 2019-20

Ur. M. Sreenivasulu,

M E, Ph. D.

Professer & HOD CSE

K.S.R.M. College of Engineering

KADAPA - 516 003

Co-ordinator

Internal Quality Assurance Cell (IQAC) KSR W College of Engineering

Kadapa - 516 003


V.S.S. Mm/5 PRINCIPAL

PRINCIPAL

Table 2: Faculty Feedback Analysis Report On R18UG Curriculum

	PERCENTAGE WISE RESPONSE						
Q.No	Excellent(5)	Very Good(4)	Good(3)	Satisfactory(2)	Poor(1)	Avg. Rating	Grade
Q1	86.67	13.33	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.87	Excellent
Q2	83.33	16.67	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.83	Excellent
Q3	90.00	10.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.90	Excellent
Q4	86.67	13.33	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.87	Excellent
Q5	90.00	10.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.90	Excellent
Q6	93.33	6.67	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.93	Excellent
Q7	86.67	13.33	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.87	Excellent
Q8	86.67	13.33	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.87	Excellent

Average Rating	Grade		
≥4	Excellent		
4 <avg.rating≥3< td=""><td colspan="3">Very good</td></avg.rating≥3<>	Very good		
3 <avg.rating≥2< td=""><td>Good</td></avg.rating≥2<>	Good		



Feedback Analysis of Faculty on R18UG Curriculum for AY 2019-20

Dr. M. Sreenivasulu,

M. E., Ph. D.

Professer & HOD CSE

K.S.R.M. College of Engineering

KADAPA - 516 003

Co-ordinator Internal Quality Assurance Cell (IQAC) KSRM College of Engineering Kadapa - 516 003

V.S.S. Mm 4 PRINCIPAL